		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summ	arv	10/054,422	VALENTINE ET AL.	
Examiner-initiated interview Summar	ai y	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Kristie D. Shingles	2141	
All Participants:		Status of Application	n: <u>ALLOWED</u>	
(1) Kristie D. Shingles, Examiner.		(3)		
(2) <u>Richard Baker, Atty</u> .		(4)		
Date of Interview: 26 September 2007		Time: <u>3:00pm</u>		
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description:		ant's representative)		
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a				
Claims discussed: 1, 2, 3, 11, 12				
Prior art documents discussed: n/a				
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH See Continuation Sheet	IE GENE	RAL NATURE OF WHA	T WAS DISCUSSED:	
Part III.				
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a s directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a s did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief</li> </ul>	ation. Th	e examiner will provide record of the substance	a written summary of the substa of the interview, since the interv	ınce
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	Applicant	:/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Upon Primary's approval, Examiner contacted Applicant with suggested amendments to place the claims in condition for allowance. The independent claims did not claim an apparent utility for resolving the network topology, Examiner suggested amending the independent claims with language from claims 2 or 3 to specify that the network topology was resolved or presented with the inferred devices or unresolved branch. Examiner further suggested amending instances of "non-connecting network device" in the claims to "endstation type" device for consistency with Applicant's specification and for clarity. Atty authorized Examiner to amend the claims accordingly.